



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,235	06/28/2001	Lance W. Russell	10003532-1	8674
	7590 05/12/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H	
Intellectual Pr	operty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins CO 80527-2400			2143	

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/895,235	RUSSELL, LANCE W.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Asghar Bilgrami	2143		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to large accepted or b)☐ objected to large acceptance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/28/2001.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Forbes et al (U.S. 6,728,896).
- As per claims 1, 10, 11, 19, 20, 21-24 & 25 Forbes disclosed a method for managing a plurality of distributed nodes of a network, comprising: (a) on a current one of the network nodes, determining a status of the current [[a]] network node. Forbes describes "heart beats" as means for detecting the status of the nodes (col.4, lines 27-33 & col.9, lines 1-18); [[and]] (b) in response to a determination that the current network has failed, initiating a recovery process on the current network node; (c) migrating from the current network node to a successive one of the network nodes; and (d) repeating (a), (b), and (c) with the current network node corresponding to the successive network.(col.3, lines 48-67, col.4, lines 1-33, 53-65 & col.11, lines 15-23).
- 4. As per claims 2 & 12 Forbes disclosed the system of claim 1, wherein the recovery module comprises a routing component for determining a next hop address from an origin network node to a destination network node (col.9, lines 8-12).

Application/Control Number: 09/895,235

Art Unit: 2143

Page 3

- 5. As per claims 3 & 13 Forbes disclosed the system of claim 2, wherein the routing component is configured to determine the next hop address based upon a routing table stored at the origin network node (col.9, lines 8-12).
- 6. As per claims 4 & 14 Forbes disclosed the system of claim 1, wherein the recovery module is configured to determine the status of a network node by sending an inter-process communication to a node process (col.3, lines 48-47, col.4, lines 1-8).
- 7. As per claims 5 & 15 Forbes disclosed the system of claim 1, wherein the recovery module is configured to determine the status of a network node in accordance with a heartbeat messaging protocol (col.4, lines 27-33).
- 8. As per claims 6 & 16 Forbes disclosed the system of claim 1, wherein the recovery module is configured to initiate a recovery process on a failed network node in accordance with a restart protocol (col.9, lines 1-17).
- 9. As per claims 7 & 17 Forbes disclosed the system of claim 6, 'wherein the recovery module is configured to initiate a restart of a failed node process by transmitting a request to a process execution service operating on the failed network node (col.9, lines 1-17).

Application/Control Number: 09/895,235

Art Unit: 2143

7 tt Omt. 2145

10. As per claims 8 & 18 Forbes disclosed the system of claim 1, wherein the recovery module is configured to transmit a node status message to a network management module operating at a network management network node (col.3, lines 48-67, col.4, lines 1-11).

Page 4

11. As per claim 9 Forbes disclosed the system of claim 8, wherein the node status message comprises information obtained from a log file generated at the failed network node (col.3, lines 48-67, col.4, lines 1-33).

Response to Arguments

- When prior art is presented to the applicants, it is the responsibility of the applicants to not simply read portions of the prior art but to also gain an understanding of the spirit of the design.
- 13. The applicants argued that "Forbes does not teach or suggest anything about recovery module that is configured to migrate from one network node to the another, determine a status of a network node, and initiate a recovery process on a failed network node, as recited in independent claim 1.
- 14. As to applicants arguments Forbes disclosed the recovery module (MSCS) which can detect and recover from server or application failures (see col.3, lines 48-67) and also talks about recovering its previous state after being switched over to second server once the first server failed on the network (col.11, lines 15-22).
- 15. The applicant argued that the examiner failed to point specifically to the component of Forbes system that he believes corresponds to the recovery module recited in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,235

Art Unit: 2143

Page 5

16. As to applicants arguments Forbes does not specifically mentions "recovery module", but

one in the ordinary skill in the art can understand that MSCS is conducting the functions of a

"recovery module" within itself.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami

Examiner

Art Unit 2143

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR.

AB